

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA
CASE NO. 02-CV-2733 (HB)

CONSTELLATION NEWENERGY, INC.

Plaintiffs, (Videotaped)
Oral Deposition of:

vs.

DAVID McGEOWN

POWERWEB TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
et al.,

Defendants.

ORIGINAL

* * * *

Thursday, February 26, 2004

大 大 大 大 大

Transcript in the above matter taken at the offices of Wolf Block, 101 Eisenhower Parkway, Roseland, New Jersey, commencing at 10:17 a.m., before Seva Flicstein, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, a Notary Public of the State of New Jersey.

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTING SERVICES

Arranged Through

MASTROIANNI & FORMAROLI, INC.

709 White Horse Pike

Audubon, New Jersey 08106

(856) 546-1100

<p>1 Q. Did it become something else after 2 that?</p> <p>3 A. I was employed at that point -- I 4 became an employee of AES. And within AES we 5 didn't differentiate between NewEnergy, at that 6 time, and anybody globally in AES in terms of your 7 participation by employment with AES NewEnergy. I 8 can't remember the exact date in which that would 9 have changed over to AES Intricity, which is 10 probably where you're headed.</p> <p>11 Q. Let me make sure I understand what 12 you just said.</p> <p>13 When you first started, it was 14 NewEnergy Ventures. Then a couple days later it 15 became AES NewEnergy. And at that point did you 16 shift over to working for AES? Is that what you 17 are saying?</p> <p>18 A. The employment with NewEnergy was 19 continuous. AES acquired all of NewEnergy 20 Ventures. And as such, at that point, I became an 21 AES employee.</p> <p>22 And I am sure your research has come 23 up with already that that then becomes very 24 difficult to identify exactly which part of AES you 25 were contributing to. But my paycheck was coming</p>	<p>Page 18</p> <p>1 Did that answer your question? 2 Q. Yes, thank you. 3 So during the time that you were 4 getting a paycheck from NewEnergy and it was owned 5 by AES, you were helping out a number of different 6 entities that belonged to AES?</p> <p>7 MR. WHITE: Objection; compound, 8 lacks foundation.</p> <p>9 You may answer.</p> <p>10 A. AES has an advice process, and I was 11 occasionally asked -- all people in AES were asked 12 to give advice on various parts of how AES 13 operated. That was the limit of it.</p> <p>14 Our primary focus was our day-to-day 15 job at NewEnergy. That was the context of being an 16 AES person.</p> <p>17 Q. At some point you mentioned a company 18 called Intricity. When was that company formed?</p> <p>19 A. Don't know the exact date, but I 20 believe it may have been January 2000. I can't be 21 exact on that.</p> <p>22 Q. Did anything change with respect to 23 your employment at that point?</p> <p>24 A. No. Functions, things that we were 25 doing on a day-to-day basis changed focus. But I</p>
<p>1 from NewEnergy from then for -- it's got to be 2 nearly two years ago when my employment with AES 3 ended.</p> <p>4 And I can't tell you at any point 5 whether or not that switched over from one payroll 6 to another. Never looked at it. It just shows up 7 in a bank account.</p> <p>8 Q. Would you explain what you mean by 9 the way people at AES worked for all different 10 entities?</p> <p>11 MR. WHITE: Objection.</p> <p>12 Q. (BY MR. GARCIA:) I am not sure I am 13 exactly restating what you said, but you said 14 something that -- you said, "And I am sure your 15 research has come up with already that that then 16 becomes very difficult to identify exactly which 17 part of AES you were contributing to."</p> <p>18 What did you mean by that?</p> <p>19 A. AES as a global corporation had many 20 different legal entities, subsidiaries. We were 21 expected to help contribute anybody that asked us 22 questions. So one then moved to a mental mind-set 23 of you worked for AES, period.</p> <p>24 My focus was NewEnergy, and I'm 25 pretty sure my paycheck came from NewEnergy.</p>	<p>Page 19</p> <p>1 don't think with regard to employment.</p> <p>2 Q. Did you receive a paycheck from 3 Intricity, then, instead of NewEnergy? Or was it 4 still NewEnergy?</p> <p>5 A. I honestly don't know the answer to 6 that.</p> <p>7 Q. And the paychecks you got from 8 NewEnergy were from what? NewEnergy Ventures and 9 then AES NewEnergy, or what?</p> <p>10 A. It may be embarrassing. The money 11 showed up in the bank account. I never looked.</p> <p>12 Q. Well, to try to simplify this, I am 13 going to ask you some questions about your 14 employment at NewEnergy. And by that I mean to 15 include whichever of the entities it was at the 16 time during the time period you described. Okay?</p> <p>17 A. Yeah.</p> <p>18 Q. When you first started working at 19 NewEnergy, who did you report to?</p> <p>20 A. Day one, I believe NewEnergy Ventures 21 was Steve Levine.</p> <p>22 Q. And what were your responsibilities 23 at that point?</p> <p>24 A. I had the responsibility for helping 25 put together and run the energy services portfolio</p>

Page 22

Page 24

1 for the East Coast of NewEnergy Ventures.

2 Q. What was the energy services
3 portfolio?

4 A. We didn't have one at that time, but
5 it subsequently became products and services that
6 augmented the sale of electricity; such as reducing
7 energy consumption, lending money -- arranging for
8 loans for energy efficiency projects, anything that
9 could reduce a client's energy operating costs.

10 Q. What was Steve Levine's position at
11 that point?

12 A. I believe he was the regional vice
13 president.

14 Q. And what was your position at that
15 point?

16 A. I believe it was director of energy
17 services. We didn't put titles on business cards.

18 Q. Did you have any employees working
19 for you at that time?

20 A. No.

21 Q. Subsequently did you end up reporting
22 to someone different?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Who was the next person?

25 A. The next one I can clearly remember

Page 23

Page 25

1 is Brian Hayduk.

2 Q. Do you remember approximately when it
3 was that that shifted from Steve Levine to Brian
4 Hayduk?

5 A. No.

6 Q. Were there any others after Brian
7 Hayduk?

8 A. Rob Morgan.

9 Q. Rob Morgan?

10 A. Yeah.

11 Q. Do you remember when that was?

12 A. Not exactly, but that was probably
13 the fall of '99.

14 Q. And was he also involved with the
15 East Coast?

16 A. He was an AES guy. So he was
17 involved with national issues.

18 Q. At that point did you become involved
19 with national issues as well?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Did you have a different title
22 then?

23 A. No. We never focused on titles. We
24 just changed roles and responsibilities.

25 Q. You say the fall of 1999. Can you be

1 any more specific than that?

2 A. Several months after AES acquired
3 NewEnergy Ventures. I'm guessing it was about
4 three to four months.

5 Q. At that point did you have any
6 employees reporting to you?

7 A. No.

8 Q. When you left NewEnergy, what did you
9 do?

10 A. We tried to keep Energy Tracking
11 alive for about seven months. At that point I was
12 technically still on the AES payroll as part of our
13 severance. And then I became an independent
14 consultant.

15 Q. Is Energy Tracking a company that
16 Keith Mistry had owned in the past?

17 A. No.

18 Q. What is Energy Tracking?

19 A. It's a Delaware Corp. that was
20 formed.

21 Q. Was there a different company called
22 Energy Tracking before that?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Was that company acquired by
25 NewEnergy or AES?

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

Page 70

Page 72

1 After execution of this letter of
 2 intent, did Powerweb provide the information set
 3 forth in that list?

4 A. I don't know.

5 MR. WHITE: Objection.

6 Q. If you look at item number 4 in the
 7 list, it says, "Describe and provide detailed
 8 documentation on how a capacity side virtual sale
 9 is transacted and demonstrate an actual sale with
 10 all contractual support documentation."

11 Why is it that you wanted Powerweb to
 12 do that?

13 A. My recollection is that as we shared
 14 the business development efforts to pull this deal
 15 together, Mr. Budike represented that he had staff
 16 in Powerweb that were technically competent with
 17 the PJM ALM program, and could provide us with the
 18 documentation from PJM that showed how that PJM
 19 program worked, and how we would transact a sale.
 20 And I believe we asked him to demonstrate an actual
 21 sale to PJM, and show us the actual documents that
 22 PJM will require.

23 Q. And is it true that NewEnergy had not
 24 done that on its own prior to this time?

25 MR. WHITE: Objection.

1 A. I don't know.
 2 Q. You are not aware of it having done
 3 that?

4 A. No.

5 MR. WHITE: Objection. "That" is
 6 vague.

7 Q. (BY MR. GARCIA:) "That" refers to
 8 what we just discussed in the paragraph. If there
 9 is any doubt about it, how a capacity side virtual
 10 sale is transacted.

11 MR. WHITE: That general.

12 Q. With that clarification, did you want
 13 to change your answer in any way?

14 A. I'm sorry. You both lost me. Would
 15 you ask again?

16 Q. Had NewEnergy at that point actually
 17 made any capacity side virtual sales?

18 A. I believe that they had.

19 Q. Are you referring to California?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Was there a program in California
 22 involving that type of thing?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. When was that program?

25 A. I believe it was a year prior to

Page 71

Page 73

1 A. I do know they were engaged in the
 2 program.

3 Q. Whatever program they had in Chicago
 4 at that time?

5 A. Correct.

6 Q. If any.

7 Aside from those possibilities, are
 8 you aware of anything like this that NewEnergy had
 9 done before?

10 MR. WHITE: Objection; vague.

11 A. I am not aware of anything else.

12 Q. Once again, this says at the very
 13 end, this letter agreement in addition to the
 14 nondisclosure agreement represents the whole
 15 agreement.

16 You understood, did you not, at this
 17 time that the nondisclosure agreement remained in
 18 force?

19 MR. WHITE: Objection; document
 20 speaks for itself, calls for legal conclusion.

21 You may answer.

22 A. At about this time I understood we
 23 had a nondisclosure agreement. I don't recall this
 24 document. I don't recall signing it. I
 25 acknowledge my signature is on the back. So it's

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

Page 214

Page 216

1 constraints on the grid.

2 Q. So those enhancements were finished
3 by September of 2001?

4 A. In answer, they were never actually
5 finished, because the product definition never
6 stayed in place long enough and the goalposts were
7 always, always moving.

8 But approximately with regard to the
9 goal of that curtailment team, the coalition
10 breaking up, and the New York office being the only
11 one left doing anything reasonable in regard to
12 anything close to those goals, then there was a
13 functioning product by that September.

14 Q. And by that September, you mean
15 September 2001?

16 A. Yes.

17 (Discussion off the record.)

18 Q. (BY MR. GARCIA:) Do you remember
19 anything else about any meetings or discussions
20 with anyone at Powerweb that you haven't already
21 told me about today?

22 MR. WHITE: Objection; overly broad.

23 A. No.

24 Q. Do you remember anything else about
25 any meetings with anyone at Bell Atlantic regarding

1 prepare for the deposition today?

2 A. We met Monday to give me a briefing
3 on what a deposition is and what is appropriate
4 conduct.

5 Q. This is a meeting with Mr. White?

6 A. That's correct.

7 Q. Had you had any other meetings or
8 discussions with any other lawyer representing
9 NewEnergy?

10 A. There was a brief meeting several
11 months ago when the potential for this to get ugly
12 first occurred. And it was Joel Sweet and one
13 other attorney met me to understand what I knew,
14 what I could recollect of what had happened in that
15 period. And they asked me a bunch of general
16 questions.

17 Q. Was the other attorney Zach Glaser?

18 A. No.

19 Q. Was it Jennifer O'Neill?

20 A. No.

21 Q. Was it a male or female?

22 A. Male. David.

23 Q. David Landau?

24 A. Could be.

25 Q. What do you recall about that

1 the project with Powerweb that you haven't already
2 told me today?

3 A. No.

4 Q. Do you have any files, documents,
5 data on computers relating to any of the subjects
6 we've discussed today?

7 A. Only insofar as there was some copies
8 of the e-mail traffic that -- or these documents
9 that had floated around last week from counsel.

10 Q. In other words, they provided
11 documents to you?

12 A. That's correct.

13 Q. Other than things they've given you,
14 did you have anything of your own?

15 A. No.

16 Q. Were all of the things they gave you
17 marked with Bates numbers on the bottom?

18 Do you know what that is?

19 A. To be honest with you, I got a very
20 large box of stuff, I looked at it, I haven't
21 looked at it since. I don't know.

22 Q. Do you recall seeing any documents
23 there other than ones we've looked at today?

24 A. No.

25 Q. What did you do, if anything, to

1 discussion?

2 A. They simply asked me what my
3 recollection was of various pieces of things that
4 happened during the process of trying to do the
5 Powerweb deal.

6 Q. Do you remember telling them anything
7 that you haven't already said today at this
8 deposition?

9 A. No. I was probably a little bit more
10 visceral on Mr. Budike's ethics, but that was
11 probably about it.

12 Q. A little more emotion?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Did you give them any documents at
15 that time?

16 A. No.

17 MR. WHITE: I'm sorry. Give them
18 any?

19 MR. GARCIA: Documents at that time.

20 A. Gave them the bill. That counts as a
21 document.

22 Q. Did you get paid for that meeting?

23 A. No. We had a meal. We met in a
24 restaurant. That was it. They picked up the tab.

25 Q. Have you had any discussions about

55 (Pages 214 to 217)

Page 222

1 three-minute break, and let me just reorganize that
 2 pile of documents so I can pull out the documents
 3 that I want to show you in a quick way. I think it
 4 will take about five to ten minutes of questions.

5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off record,
 6 4:41 p.m.

7 (Break taken.)

8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On record,
 9 4:50 p.m.

10 THE WITNESS: I want to go back to
 11 your last question.

12 As we were sitting here I was
 13 thinking back any possible other sources, and you
 14 mentioned computer archives. And I said a
 15 definitive no.

16 I do have a couple of old computers
 17 at home that may have some of this old First Class
 18 e-mail on it. I have no idea what the content is.
 19 But it is possible that there are archived files
 20 there.

21 I just wanted to make sure in true
 22 candor that I answered as best I could.

23 Q. (BY MR. GARCIA:) Would you be able
 24 to search for those? In other words, are those
 25 computers still functioning?

Page 224

1 Exhibit Powerweb-130. And since I am going a
 2 little out of order, we will give Mr. Garcia a
 3 chance to find where we are.

4 Powerweb-130 was one of the documents
 5 that talked -- it was the October 26, 1999 e-mail
 6 from yourself to several people that
 7 includes -- enclosed a document called Reserve
 8 Capacity Update. And there is a line item on here
 9 that talked about -- it says, "Kirk has okayed
 10 first sniff on technology."

11 I just want to ask you a question
 12 about that.

13 Do you remember your testimony on
 14 that earlier today?

15 A. Yeah. Yeah.

16 Q. What was your understanding of the
 17 level of detail in which Mr. Hampton reviewed the
 18 technology?

19 A. Is it appropriate to expand on what
 20 "sniff test" means?

21 Q. Sure.

22 A. Does it smell like bullshit?

23 Q. Okay.

24 A. That's what the "sniff" refers to.

25 So it is -- it reasonably appears to

Page 223

1 A. I believe that they are. They should
 2 function. I think they are swamped with kids games
 3 right now.

4 But it occurred to me that there may
 5 be data, and I couldn't unequivocally say I don't
 6 have any of those e-mail track records.

7 Q. Would you be willing to search and
 8 produce them if they are?

9 A. I would be happy to.

10 (Information requested)

11 MR. WHITE: Just get in touch with me
 12 if you find something, and we will look it over and
 13 see if we should produce it. And I will let
 14 counsel know whether you found anything, yes or no.

15 MR. GARCIA: Thank you for bringing
 16 that up.

17 THE WITNESS: Want to get it all out.

18 ----

19 EXAMINATION

20 ----

21 BY MR. WHITE:

22 Q. Mr. McGeown, I want to ask you a few
 23 questions on some of the documents that Mr. Garcia
 24 showed you.

25 I want to start with the document

Page 225

1 be capable of doing what it claims to, but we
 2 haven't done any detailed testing or analysis or
 3 whatever.

4 Q. What type of information would you
 5 have expected Mr. Hampton to review to perform the
 6 sniff test, as you use the phrase?

7 A. Sales literature and product cut
 8 sheets, technical specification sheets. High level
 9 function of what the thing is supposed to do.

10 Q. When you say "cut sheet," what does
 11 that phrase mean to you?

12 A. A cut sheet is an industry standard
 13 term.

14 The first document you might have
 15 would be a customer sales piece that describes the
 16 benefits of the product.

17 And the next piece would be a cut
 18 sheet that would have some kind of technical
 19 specification that somebody with engineering
 20 training would look at that cut sheet and from that
 21 be able to determine if, at first sniff, the thing
 22 appears to be capable of doing the job that you
 23 want it to do. Size, voltage, bits, bytes.

24 Like you go get your computer, a
 25 beautiful pink computer, how many megawatts, what